

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					<u> </u>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOC	KET NO. CONFIRMATI	ON NO.	
10/623,127	07/18/2003	Konstantin V. Rodyushkin	10559-8310	01 4494		
20985 FISH & RÍCHA	7590 03/27/2007 ARDSON. PC	EXAMINER				
P.O. BOX 1022	2	LE, BRIAN Q				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUI	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		· · ·	
·				·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		03/27/2007	,	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/623,12	10/623,127		RODYUSHKIN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Brian Q. L		2624	·			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence a	iddress			
WHIC - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 rs IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ation. y period will apply and wi by statute, cause the apply	IIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a rep II expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n .						
2a)∏		☐ This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice u	•		• •				
Disposit	tion of Claims				•			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the appli	ication.		·				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 30-32 is/are objected to.	•			•			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.			•			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2004 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepte	ed or b) 🔲 object	ed to by the Examiner	г.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection		•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached	Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for following the control of the control o	foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				al Stage			
	application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rui	e 17.2(a)).		₹			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certi	fied copies not re	eceived.				
		•						
Attachmer	• •							
1) [A] Notice 2) [A] Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Infoi	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/18/2003</u> .	,		ormal Patent Application	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/623,127

Art Unit: 2624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

3. Claim(s) 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows.

Claim 18 recites "A computer program product, tangibly embodied in an information carrier, for defining a deformable model for facial recognition, the computer ..." embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the

function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed "an information carrier" (lines 1-2 of claim 18) can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person.

The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Claims not specifically addressed are rejected because they are dependent of the rejected claims.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 30-32 are objected to because of the following informalities: The Applicant needs to define what are T, I(x,y); and min_T of the energy function in order for one skilled in the art to make sense out of the function. The prior art rejection based on the Examiner's best understanding. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-11, 16-20 and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tian et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,879,709.

Regarding claim 1, Tian teaches a medium bearing a deformable model (detect an expression of the face by using model to detect the changes of facial images by the process of normalization since normalization is known to compensate changes and variance of position, pose, scale and illumination) (abstract; column 9, lines 20-25; FIG. 13; and column 1, line 63 to column 2, line 4) configured to enable a machine to estimate positions of four points (detect position of six points) (column 8, line 29-40) defined by X and Y coordinates (column 13, lines 1-4), each of the points representing a facial element position (column 13, lines 5-15) in a digital image (abstract, 2nd line).

For claim 2, Tian also teaches the medium bearing a deformable model in which the four points include a first point designating a center of a left eye (column 13, line 47).

Regarding claim 3, Tian further teaches the medium bearing a deformable model in which four points further include a second point designating a center of a right eye (column 13, lines 47-48).

Referring to claim 4, Tian discloses the medium bearing a deformable model in which the four points further include a third point designating a left corner of the mouth (column 9, line 27).

Referring to claim 5, Tian discloses the medium bearing a deformable model in which the four points further include a fourth point designating a left corner of the mouth (column 9, lines 27-28).

For claim 6, Tian shows the medium bearing a deformable model comprising a variable representing a distance between the first and second points (distance between face characteristic points would include distance between the first and the second points) (column 8, lines 45-48).

Regarding claim 7, Tian further shows the medium bearing a deformable model further comprising a variable representing a distance between the third and the fourth points (distance between face characteristic points would include distance between the third and the fourth points) (column 8, lines 45-48).

For claim 8, Tian teaches the medium bearing a deformable model further comprising a variable representing a distance between eyes and mouth (column 9, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 9, Tian teaches a method comprising:

Estimating deformable models (detect an expression of the face by using model to detect the changes of facial images by the process of normalization since normalization is known to compensate changes and variance of position, pose, scale and illumination) (abstract; column 9, lines 20-25; FIG. 13; and column 1, line 63 to column 2, line 4) including eye positions (FIG. 6) and mouth positions (FIG. 6 and FIG. 7A) on each from of a digital image sequence (abstract).

For claim 10, Tian teaches the method in which estimating comprises matching a current face formable model with image features (authentication requires matching/comparing) (column 10, lines 32-35 and column 16, lines 39-45).

For claim 11, Tian further teaches the method in which the eye positions and the mouth positions are represented by four points defined by x and y coordinates (column 13, lines 1-4; FIG. 5B and FIG. 6).

Regarding claim 16, Tian teaches the method in which the four points of the current face deformable model are determined by six parameters (distance's parameters and histogram's parameters) (column 13, lines 20-44) and a base face model (column 13, lines 45-47).

Referring to claim 17, Tian teaches the method of in which the six parameters comprise:

a first parameter representing a distance increase between eyes (distance between left and right eyes) (column 12, lines 60-67);

a second parameter representing a distance increase between eyes and mouth (column 13, lines 25-28);

a third parameter representing a distance increase between mouth corners (column 13, lines 30-33);

- a fourth parameter representing a rotation angle (column 13, lines 60-67);
- a fifth parameter representing a shift value along an X axis (L or N) (FIG. 6);
- a sixth parameter representing a shift value along a Y axis (M or K) (FIG. 6).

Regarding claim 18, please refer back to claim 9 for further teachings and explanations. In addition, Tian teaches a computer program product (column 7, lines 57-60) to perform the aforementioned limitations.

For claims 19-20, please refer back to claims 10-11 respectively for further teachings and explanations.

For claims 25-26, please refer back to claims 16-17 for further teachings and explanations.

For claim 27, Tian teaches a method comprising:

Receiving a first digital image in a sequence of digital images (FIG. 4, element 410) and eye and mouth coordinates (FIG. 5B);

Outputting (column 12, lines 5-10) eye and mouth coordinates on a subsequent digital image in the sequence of digital images (FIG. 6).

For claim 28, Tian further teaches the method in which receiving further comprises estimating a base face model and the base face model's transformation parameters T' by the eye and mouth coordinates (transform characteristic points of a face would include transformation parameters of the eye and the mouth coordinates) (column 12, lines 40-56).

Regarding claim 29, Tian also teaches the method in which outputting comprises:

Calculating an initial model M as a transformed base model M_b using transformation parameters T' (that is the concept of transformation process) (column 5, lines 34-38 and column 12, lines 55-56);

Rotating the subsequent image to I(x, y) to generate a normalized model M (column 13, line 55 to column 14, line 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 7. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 12-15 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tian et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,879,709.

Regarding claims 12-15, Tian teaches the method which the four points comprise a first point, second point, third point and fourth point to designate left eye center, right eye center, left mouth, and right mouth and uses labeling technique as Pn (P1, P2, P3 and P4) to label each point (FIG. 5B). Tian does not explicitly use the labeling system i = 1, i = 2, i = 3, and i = 4. However, it would have been obvious to use also use Pn system or I = n system to label each region of the image for better recognition each region of the image in order to help the system to automatically label facial expressions and facilitating retrieval base on facial expressions (column 7, lines 60-64). This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Tian to implement a labeling method.

For claims 21-24, please refer back to claims 12-15 respectively for further teachings and explanations.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 30-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and overcome the objection of the claims.

CONCLUSION

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to facial matching and position estimation:

U.S. Pat. No. 6,934,406 to Nakano, teaches image processing to process image taking into consideration difference in image pickup condition.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,127

Art Unit: 2624

U.S. Pat. No. 6,088,040 to Oda et al., teaches method of facial image conversion by

interpolation/extrapolation.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,450,504 to Calia, teaches method for finding a most likely matching of a

Page 9

target facial image in data base of facial images.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7424. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M - 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mathew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Le

March 21, 2007